Linear Elements

a. Galerkin

$$\int w(\mathbf{a}.\nabla \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int \nabla w. \, (\nu \nabla \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int w \sigma \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega = \int w \, \mathrm{s} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

So, we only need to solve

Convection matrix
$$C = \int N_a (a, \nabla N_b) d\Omega$$

Reaction Matrix $R = \int N_a \sigma N_b d\Omega \approx \mathbf{0}$: for linear element this term will be neglected
Diffusion Matrix $D = \int \nabla N_a (v \nabla N_b) d\Omega$
Source vector $SV = \int N_a s d\Omega$

Response:-

(i) Problem-1 s=0, a=1, v=0.01 Pe = 5 ····· exact

(ii) Problem-2 s=1, a=1, v=0.01





00

0.2

0.4

0.6

0.8

1



Comments:

Case (i),(ii,(iii) & (vi),as Pe number is equal to 5 (Pe \ge 1) that's why Galerkin exploded and solution oscillate at nodes. To overcome oscillation we should have a finer mesh and reduce the element size such that Pe number approaches Pe \le .1. As it is evident from case (iv) & (vii) where Pe=1, the Galerkin produces approximate solution near to exact solution. In Case (v), Galerkin produces almost exact solution with sufficient amount of diffusion added to the problem.

b. Upwind Stream (SU)

$$\int w(a, \nabla u) \, d\Omega + \int \nabla w. (v \nabla u) \, d\Omega + \int w \sigma u \, d\Omega + \int \dot{v} / IaI^2(a, \nabla w)(a, \nabla u) \, d\Omega = \int ws \, d\Omega$$
Convection matrix $C = \int N_a (a, \nabla N_b) \, d\Omega$
Reaction Matrix $R = \int N_a \sigma N_b \, d\Omega \approx \mathbf{0}$: for linear element this term will be neglected
Diffusion Matrix $D = \int \nabla N_a (v \nabla N_b) \, d\Omega$

Added Artificial Diffusion Matrix $D' = \int \tau(a. \nabla N_a)(a. \nabla N_b) d\alpha$

Source vector $SV = \int N_a s d\Omega$

Response:





Case (i),(ii) & (iii) artificial diffusion is added to problem to balance the dominated convection. It smoothen the solution and it's not consistent and diffusion is only added in the upwind direction and it pushes the solution to converge in that direction as illustrated by above results. We can still produce nearly exact solution by reducing the Pe number (finer mesh) Case-(iv).

c. Upwind Stream Petrov-Galerkin(SUPG)

$$\int w(\mathbf{a}.\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int \nabla w. (\nu \nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int w \sigma u \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{P}(w)\tau, \mathcal{R}(u)) = \int w s \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{P}(w)\tau s)$$

Adding stabilization terms, now we have to solve.

Convection matrix
$$C = \int N_a (a, \nabla N_b) d\Omega$$

Reaction Matrix $R = \int N_a \sigma N_b d\Omega \approx \mathbf{0}$ for linear element this term will be neglected
Diffusion Matrix $D = \int \nabla N_a (v \nabla N_b) d\Omega$
Stabilization Matrix $S = \sum (\mathcal{P}(w)\tau \mathcal{R}(u) \approx \sum (a, \nabla N_a)\tau(a, \nabla N_b)$ for linear element
Source vector $SV = \int N_a s d\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{P}(w)\tau s) \approx \int N_a s d\Omega + \sum ((a, \nabla N_a)\tau s)$ for linear element
Where $\mathcal{P}(w) = (a, \nabla N_a)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u) = (a, \nabla N_b) - \nabla (v \nabla N_b) + \sigma u$

Response:-



Comments:

Stabilization is added to ensure the consistency and we have a more accurate approximate solution with course mesh.

d. Galerkin Least Square (GLS)

$$\int w(\mathbf{a}.\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int \nabla w. (v\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int w\sigma u \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{L}(w)\tau, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u})) = \int ws \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{L}(w)\tau s)$$

Adding stabilization terms, now we have to solve.

Convection matrix $C = \int N_a (a. \nabla N_b) d\Omega$ Reaction Matrix $R = \int N_a \sigma N_b d\Omega \approx \mathbf{0}$ for linear element this term will be neglected Diffusion Matrix $D = \int \nabla N_a (v \nabla N_b) d\Omega$ Stabilization Matrix $S = \sum (\mathcal{L}(w)\tau \mathcal{R}(u) \approx \sum (a. \nabla N_a)\tau (a. \nabla N_b))$ for linear element Source vector $SV = \int N_a s \, d\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{L}(w)\tau s) \approx \int N_a s \, d\Omega + \sum ((a. \nabla N_a)\tau s))$ for linear element Where $\mathcal{L}(w) = (a. \nabla N_a) - \nabla (v \nabla N_a) + \sigma w$ and $\mathcal{R}(u) = (a. \nabla N_b) - \nabla (v \nabla N_b) + \sigma u$

Response:-





Comments:

For linear elements, SUPG and GLS produce same approximate solutions.

Quadratic Elements

a. Galerkin

$$\int w(\mathbf{a}.\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int \nabla w. (v\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int w \sigma u \, \mathrm{d}\Omega = \int w s \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

So, we only need to solve





Comments:

Quadratic elements shared a third node in middle in a sense to decrease the node to node distance and play a role to reduce the oscillations at nodes while having the same Pe number. So

in comparison to linear elements, Galerkin produces a relatively stable approximate solution with same no of elements and oscillations appear in the convective dominated region only.

b. Upwind Stream (SU)

$$\int w(\mathbf{a}.\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int \nabla w. (v\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int w\sigma u \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int \dot{v}/\mathrm{IaI}^2(\mathbf{a}.\nabla w)(\mathbf{a}.\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega = \int ws \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

Convection matrix $C = \int N_a(a. \nabla N_b) d\Omega$

Reaction Matrix R = $\int N_a \sigma N_b d\Omega$

Diffusion Matrix $D = \int \nabla N_a (v \nabla N_b) d\Omega$

Added Artificial Diffusion Matrix $D' = \int \tau(a. \nabla N_a)(a. \nabla N_b) d\alpha$

Source vector $SV = \int N_a s \, d\Omega$

Response:



Comments:

Quadrilateral elements produce better results with added diffusion in wind direction than the linear elements but still approximate solution is not consistent.

c. Upwind Stream Petrov-Galerkin(SUPG)

$$\int w(\mathbf{a}.\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int \nabla w. (v\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int w\sigma u \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{P}(w)\tau, \mathcal{R}(u)) = \int ws \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{P}(w)\tau s)$$

Adding stabilization terms, now we have to solve.

Convection matrix $C = \int N_a (a. \nabla N_b) d\Omega$ Reaction Matrix $R = \int N_a \sigma N_b d\Omega$ Diffusion Matrix $D = \int \nabla N_a (v \nabla N_b) d\Omega$ Stabilization Matrix $S = \sum (\mathcal{P}(w) \tau \mathcal{R}(u)$ Source vector $SV = \int N_a s d\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{P}(w) \tau s)$ Where $\mathcal{P}(w) = (a. \nabla N_a)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u) = (a. \nabla N_b) - \nabla . (v \nabla N_b) + \sigma u$

Response:-





Comments:

Stabilization with quad elements are more accurate than the linear elements and still more better results can be achieved by finer mesh Case-(iv).

d. Galerkin Least Square (GLS)

$$\int w(\mathbf{a}.\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int \nabla w. (v\nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int w\sigma u \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{L}(w)\tau, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u})) = \int ws \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{L}(w)\tau s)$$

Adding stabilization terms, now we have to solve.

Convection matrix $C = \int N_a(a, \nabla N_h) d\Omega$

- Reaction Matrix R = $\int N_a \sigma N_b d\Omega$
- Diffusion Matrix $D = \int \nabla N_a (\nu \nabla N_b) d\Omega$

Stabilization Matrix $S = \sum (\mathcal{L}(w)\tau \mathcal{R}(u))$

Source vector SV = $\int N_a s d\Omega + \sum (\mathcal{L}(w)\tau s)$

Where $\mathcal{L}(w) = (a. \nabla N_a) - \nabla (v \nabla N_a) + \sigma w$ and $\mathcal{R}(u) = (a. \nabla N_b) - \nabla (v \nabla N_b) + \sigma u$

Response:-





s=sin (πx), a=1, v=0.01



Comments:

With Quad elements, both SUPG and GLS behave exactly the same and that is strange.

ADNAN ALI IMRAN



Strong form

$$a \cdot \nabla u = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \nabla u) = \delta u = S \quad SL$$

 $u(t_0) = 0 \quad u(1) = 1$

weak form by Galerhin Approach

$$\int_{\Omega} w (a. \nabla u) da + \int_{\Omega} \nabla w . (v \nabla u) da + \int_{\Omega} w \delta u da = \int_{\Omega} w da$$

$$Adding Artificial Diffusion \int_{SL} w(a.\nabla u) da_{+} \int_{SL} \nabla w.((\nabla + \overline{v})\nabla u) da_{+} \int_{SL} w \delta u da_{-} = \int_{SL} u c da$$

Streamline Upwind SU

$$\int_{\Omega} w(a.\nabla u) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \nabla w. (\nabla \nabla u) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} w \delta u d\Omega$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{v}{\|a\|^{2}} (a.\nabla w) (a.\nabla u) d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} w s d\Omega$$

Stabilized Consistent formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} w(a.\nabla u) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \nabla w.(\nabla \nabla u) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} w \delta u d\Omega$$

$$+ \sum_{e} \int_{\Omega_{e}} P(w) T R(u) d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} w s d\Omega$$

Streeom line upwind Petrov. (nederlyin (SUPG))

$$P(w) = a.\nabla w$$

$$\int_{\Omega} w (a.\nabla u) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \nabla w . (\nabla \nabla u) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} w \sigma u d\Omega$$

$$+ \sum_{e} \int_{\Omega e} (a.\nabla w) T ((a.\nabla u) - \nabla . (\nabla \nabla u) + \sigma u) d\Omega$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} w s d\Omega + \sum_{e} \int_{SLe} (a.\nabla w) T s d\Omega$$

Galerkin Least Squares (GLS) P(w) = L(w) = a. Vw -V. (VVw) + 6w Som (a. An) do + So Am. (NAN) do + Som and a + $\sum_{e} \int_{\mathbf{n}_{e}} (a.\nabla w - \nabla . (\nabla \nabla w) + \omega) ? (a.\nabla u - \nabla . (\nabla \nabla u) + \omega) d\Omega$ = $\int_{\alpha} w s \, d\Omega + \sum_{P} \int_{\Omega_{-}} (a \cdot \nabla w - \nabla \cdot (v \cdot \nabla w) + \delta w) \tau s \, d\Omega$ Discretization of Linear 10 element For Grateshin Approach -, GUZO For linear Element $\int_{B_{21}}^{L} \left(a N_A \frac{\partial N_B}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial N_A}{\partial x} \frac{\partial N_B}{\partial x} \right) dx = \int_{\delta}^{L} N_A s dx$ LD elevert 2 3 early element with As

 \bigcirc

Shappe functions

$$N_{1}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(1-\xi), \quad N_{2}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\xi) \quad : -1 \le \xi \le 1$$

$$S_{0}, \quad u(\xi) = N_{1}(\xi)u_{1} + N_{2}(\xi)u_{2}$$
and
$$N_{1}(\xi) = N_{1}(\xi)N_{1} + N_{2}(\xi)N_{2}$$
for uniform much Size 'h' =)
$$dX = \frac{1}{2}(N_{2}-M_{1})d\xi = \frac{1}{2}d\xi$$

thum
$$\partial N_b = \partial N_b \partial \xi = \frac{2}{5} \frac{\partial N_b}{\partial x}$$
 for $b = 1, 2$

So, Ce metrix will be

$$C^{e} = a \begin{pmatrix} N_{1} \partial N_{1} & N_{1} \partial N_{2} \\ \partial x & \partial x \end{pmatrix} dx = \frac{a}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $N_{2} \partial N_{1} & N_{2} \partial N_{2} \\ \partial x & \partial x \end{pmatrix} dx = \frac{a}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 \end{pmatrix}$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_{6} = \mathcal{U} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} \\ \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} \\ \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} \\ \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} \\ \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} \\ \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} \\ \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_{7} \\ \mathcal{U}_{7} & \mathcal{U}_$$

and for
$$z \int_{\Omega^{c}} \xi_{N_{1}}(N_{1}S_{1}+N_{2}S_{2}), N_{2}(N_{1}S_{1}+N_{2}S_{2})^{2} dn$$

As
$$(C^e + N^e) u \ge f^e$$
 for element.

Discretization of Quadratic 1D Elements
for Carbonian Approach

$$fre Carbonian Approach
$$fre Carbonian Approach
$$fre Carbonian Approach
$$fre Carbonian Approach
fre Carbonian Approach
$$fre Carboni$$

-4

and k^e

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{\mathcal{V}}{6h} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -6 & 1 \\ -8 & 16 & -6 \\ 1 & -6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

and
$$f^e$$

 $f^e = \int_{a} Nb (N_1S_1 + N_2S_2 + N_3S_2) dn b = 1,2,3$
 $(C^e + h^e) u = f^e$ for element.